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ABSTRACT

Nowadays the attention of people and authorities is getting more and more focused on the environmental performance of the industrial products as well as on the sustainability of the productive activities. The market could be soon influenced by rules for which a product has to be more ‘eco-compatible’ than another to gain the chance to be used by galvanisers’ main customers. That situation makes it necessary to perform analysis on the entire life of the galvanised steel product from the extraction of the raw materials through the production processes up to the end of their life and over, taking into consideration also the recycling and wasting environmental costs. The most recent indications by the European Commission are in line with such an integrated approach whose natural tool is the Life Cycle Assessment – LCA. It includes an assessment of the environmental and energetic loads of a process by the means of a method by which energy and raw material consumption, different types of emissions and other important factors are to be measured and analysed to give exhaustive description of the process/products entire life cycle.

The first results of a Life Cycle Assessment on a hot-dip batch galvanising process for generic steel products are here reported in order to present some environmental sustainability indicators of that industrial sector.

The study has been carried out according to ISO 14040 standard and the system referred to an average process resulting from two Italian medium-size plants (about 12,000 metric tons of galvanised steel per year for each plant). Different frameworks, poles and pylons for energy transportation and ancillaries have been considered from a cradle-to-gate (eco-profile) point of view adding up to energy and environmental results also on the basis of the steel product service lifetime within different corrosion scenarios.

Those results allow weighing most important activities in terms of environmental burdens with a special consideration of contribution due to different type of zinc (SHG, GOB, secondary zinc, zinc alloys).

End of life considerations are finally included to consider the recycling of hot-dip galvanised steel.

The research has been strictly monitored by AIZ – Italian Galvanisers Association, with the goal of providing a methodological approach which could be usefully extended to other Italian producers.
Next steps of the activity are expected to be in direction of the Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) according to the ISO TR 14025 and that would be certified by accredited certification bodies.

INTRODUCTION

Industry involved in steel surface treatment, such as Hot-Dip Galvanising, is currently facing increasing challenges to provide solutions for reducing its own environmental impact both at direct and indirect level and for supporting Steel Industry to purchase final products with high degree of environmental sustainability. To make choices, good quality and reliable information are required for the ‘environmental design’ of buildings. Now the knowledge of materials performance in terms of their consequences on the environment is commonly considered necessary by designers. Moreover crucial importance is assumed by the targets of CO\textsubscript{2} reduction fixed by the European Commission which follows the decisions taken during the Kyoto Earth Summit and the following initiatives in that direction.

For instance the implementation of the EU IPPC Directive (Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control – 96/61/CE) that is to be applied to different industrial sectors, including Hot-Dip Galvanising, requires the development and adoption of continuously updated Best Available Techniques (BAT) for reducing the emissions and the environmental loads of plants and activities.

At a national level as well, Italian authorities are encouraging actions addressed to energy saving and emissions reduction.

Bearing this in mind, two Italian galvanizing companies in co-operation with AIZ - Italian Galvanisers Association agreed on the need of an eco-profile study with the aim to provide quantitative information about the use of energy as well as the consume of raw materials and the generation of solid, liquid and gaseous emissions and wastes due to the hot dip galvanising process. Their final purpose would be issuing a report on the environmental sustainability of their products from a 'life-cycle' point of view.

METHODOLOGY

The study applies Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodology to the hot-dip zinc galvanising process aiming at both quantifying its environmental burdens and assessing the eco-sustainability associated to the use of galvanized steel product.

Hot-dip galvanising is a coating process obtained by dipping steel in a molten zinc bath to give the product a tough and long lasting protection against corrosion. Protective coating is developed by the means of a reaction by which the zinc of the bath and the iron of the substrate give place to a layer made of Zn-Fe alloys. The LCA of the galvanising process has been carried out according to the indications and protocols set out in the ISO 14040 series (ISO 14041, ISO 14042, ISO 14043). About the software model and data base for secondary data, the Bousted Model (version 4.4, updated June 2001) has been used in this project. Another source of data has been the I-LCA - Italian LCA Data Base recently published by
ANPA - Italian Governmental Environment Protection Agency. Primary data have been collected by using ad hoc questionnaires compiled by the two plants involved in the study.

THE CONSIDERED SYSTEM AND ITS BOUNDARIES

The variability in shape and quality of the product processed at the considered manufacturers facilities do not allow a direct characterisation of a relevant product-type of average mass and surface. Therefore it has been decided to express the results in terms of 1 kg of mean galvanised steel (called ‘white’) with no reference to a specific shape.

The industrial system considered in this paper comprises in a special way, together with all the operations inside the process facilities, also the raw materials extraction and the unfinished steel production as well as the production and delivery both of the energy carriers and of the final product.

A complete study would take into account also the use phases and the end of life scenarios but here only the ‘eco-profile’ (from cradle to gate) results are reported.

A flow chart with a generic production scheme of galvanised steel production process is shown in Figure 1 where also the secondary metallurgical process for a generic unfinished steel product is pointed. It’s important to stress that the system pattern takes into account the production of the unfinished steel product in order to obtain the evaluation of the whole environmental burden related to the selected functional unit that allows assessing the weight of the galvanising process during the lifetime of the steel product itself in terms of its environmental sustainability.

As shown in the same scheme in Figure 1 to correctly describe the process it could be worth considering that the zinc used for the coating, the so called ‘adhered zinc’, is the real product of a galvanizing plant. Besides this the special skills of hard zinc and ashes (the two main by-products) should be also taken into account. Particularly the hard zinc generated by the process is actually a secondary raw material with a high content of zinc which doesn’t require any treatment to be externally recycled for production of zinc oxide (in an open loop recycling system), while the ashes are subjected to a proper external treatment in a closed loop recycling system to be re-used in the galvanising process. Therefore particular attention has been paid to the partitioning of environmental burdens among product and by-products.

MAIN RESULTS

The output of the study consists in a set of parameters each of them describes a specific skill in the system total behaviour.

Energy Results

Energy results can be broken down into:

- Energy production represents the energy that is used by the fuel producing industries in extracting the primary fuel from the earth, processing it and delivering energy to the
ultimate consumer. This will also include the energy associated with the production of any non-fuel materials (such as steel) that are taken into the fuel production process.

- **Energy use** represents the energy that is received by the final operator who consumes energy (the hot dip galvanising plant).
- **Transport energy** refers to the energy associated with fuels consumed directly by the transport operations as well as any energy associated with the production of non-fuel bearing materials, such as steel, that are taken into the transport process.
- **Feedstock energy** represents the energy of the fuel bearing materials that are taken into the system but used as materials rather than fuels.

The total energy consumption (Gross Energy Requirement – GER) is given by the sum of these four single items. Figure 2 and 3 report the contribute in percentage to the GER value respectively of the zinc coated steel and of the direct energy consumption in each process step which is aimed at obtaining “useful zinc” i.e. the final product as a zinc coating actually applied on steel surface.

Figure 3 clearly shows the importance of the zinc deposition operation. That is why Figure 4 is deeper focused on the results analysis of that phase.

**Environmental results**

Primary energy sources are listed in Table 1: they refers to the primary fuels actually extracted from the earth as the mass of different fuels that are taken into the system. About the emissions to the environment (to air, to water and solid), the complete list is not here reported due to the limited space. However, the classification and characterisation of these emissions is proposed according to ISO 14042 Standard. This operation is based on the definition of some environmental impact potentials: for instance, the Global Warming Potential (GWP), that is usually expressed for a time horizon of 100 years, is calculated in terms of CO₂-equivalents using specific weighting factors for all substances with a greenhouse potential (CO₂=2, CH₄=21, N₂O=310, etc.) (Table 2).

In addition to the analysis performed for the Inventory energy results, also environmental impacts have been partitioned (allocated) among main production phases: the most important indicators (GWP₁₀₀ and acidification) are shown in Figure 5 and 6 for the zinc coated steel.

**FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The research has been strictly monitored by AIZ – Italian Galvanisers Association with the goal of providing a methodological approach which could be usefully extended to other Italian producers.

The study adds up to some objective quantification of the environmental burden of the zinc coating process by hot-dip galvanising and could be considered as a first step to provide for information designers and final users by giving them indications about the environmental performance of different building components which could be made of galvanized steel.
The described methodology could be used for making comparison among different steel corrosion protection technologies, competitors to galvanizing, taking into account specific applications with a reliable service time. For instance, a steel product when treated with hot dip batch galvanising process could stand protected against corrosion for an average time of about 35 years in certain aggressive conditions without any need of maintenance but, when an organic coating is alternatively applied to the same one, it would remain in service only for 9 or 12 years maximum depending on the applied paint process.

A possible future step of the activity could be the Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) according to the ISO TR 14025 in order to diffuse environmental information following the advice of a third party verified system.
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Figure 1 – Simplified scheme of the hot dip galvanising process.

Figure 2 – Contributors to GER for the production of coated zinc.
Figure 3 – Contributors to GER for the production of “adhered zinc”
The negative sign of the secondary zinc comes from the methodology used to take into account the environmental benefit of recycling.
Table 1 – Primary energy sources (data in g/kg).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural resource with energy content</th>
<th>Zinc coated steel</th>
<th>Useful zinc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude oil</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. – Classification and characterisation of total emissions (data per kg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Zinc coated steel</th>
<th>Useful zinc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GWP&lt;sub&gt;100&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>g CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.460</td>
<td>4.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidification</td>
<td>mol H&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g SO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutrofization</td>
<td>g O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g PO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;3-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone depletion</td>
<td>g CFC11</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo-smog</td>
<td>g C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5 – Contributions to GWP_{100} for zinc coated steel.

Figure 6 – Contributions to Acidification potential for zinc coated steel.
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